Version #

| | Application: Planning |
|--|-----------------------|
| | |
| | |

APP # 700285

6/2/2009

A. Statement of Planning Objectives

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

The Kern River Ranger District is submitting a planning proposal to conduct an environmental analysis for motorized travel management in the Piute Mountains located approximately 50 miles northeast of Bakersfield, California in Kern County. The Sequoia National Forest has been in the process of preparing an Environmental Impact Statement for Travel Route Designation for areas of the Forest without a designated route system for the last several years. The areas include the Greenhorn, Breckenridge, and Piute Mountains, lower Kern Canyon, Lake Isabella, and portions of the Western Divide Ranger District outside the boundary of the Giant Sequoia National Monument. In June 2008, the Piute Fire ignited and burned into the project area in the Piute Mountains. The fire consumed ovver 37,000 acres, with high intensity where fuel loading was excessive. A majority of the trails (including unauthorized routes) within the fire burn area were designated for motorized use. A series of heavy rain events also hit this area, causing excessive runoff and flash flooding. Early evaluations indicate that erosion was intense in much of the burned area. After review and consultation, the Forest Supervisor decided to remove the Piute Mountains area from the Motorized Travel Route Designation process. Within the Piute Fire burn area, transportation routes (both roads and trails) need to be surveyed and assessed for resource concerns, in light of the effects of the Piute fire. Design features, such as water bar and rolling bar installation, rerouting of route, or stream crossing hardening, may be proposed as a result of assessments. Within the Piute Mountains area, there is approximately 144 miles of user-created routes inventoried by the Forest Service, and 108 miles of National Forest Transportation System roads and trails. The project would fund salaries for an Interdisciplinary Team (IDT) to conduct field surveys, assess resource concerns, and complete an environmental analysis. Other activities would include a route inventory of areas with motorized use (including motorcycle, OHVs and 4WD) using a Contract work force. The IDT would develop a proposed action, based on the assessments and public input. An environmental document would be prepared that would include significant issues raised by the public, development of alternatives that address identified significant issues, and environmental consequences that would result if a developed alternative were to be implemented. The applicant would prepare an environmental document, most likely an Environmental Impact Statement or a Environmental Assessment.

B. Relation of Proposed Project to OHV Recreation

In 2005, the Forest Service published final travel management regulations in the Federal Register. This final Travel Management Rule (TMR) requires designation of those roads, trails, and areas that are open to motor vehicle use on national forests. Only roads that are part of a National Forest Transportation System (NFTS) may be designated for motorized use. The Piute Mountain area was included in the environmental analysis for the Sequoia National Forest Motorized Travel Management planning efforts until the Piute Fire ignited and burned into the project area in 2008. The proposed project would complete the environmental analysis for motorized travel route designation and identify roads, trails, and areas open to motor vehicle use in keeping with the 2005 Travel Management Rule. A majority of the trails (including unauthorized routes) within the fire burn area were designated for motorized use. Only the prohibition of cross-country travel is currently being considered for this area, not any changes or additions to the National Forest Transportation System.

Nearly all visitors to the Sequoia National Forest, regardless of the purpose for their visit, use the motorized transportation system on the Forest to reach their destination. This proposal would provide a diversity of road and trail opportunities for OHV recreation users to experience a variety of environments and modes of travel consistent with the National Forest recreation role and land capability to sustain OHV recreation opportunities.

C. Statement of Activities

The applicant proposes to survey and assess routes in the Piute Mountain Area associated with motorized use (including motorcycle, OHVs, and 4 WD vehicles) and assess for recreation OHV opportunities. Activities include the need to evaluate effects of routes on Archaeological sites and natural resources (botany, hydrology, soil, geology, wildlife and aquatic species, lands and minerals, air quality) and effects of routes on Roadless Area characteristics and the local economy. The IDT would develop a proposed action based on the environmental assessments and public input. An

Version # Page: 1 of 13

environmental document would be prepared that would include significant issues raised by the public, alternatives that address identified significant issues, and the environmental consequences that would result if a developed alternative were to be implemented. The environmental document would most likely be either an Environmental Impact Statement or a Environmental Assessment.

D. List of Reports

Trail Condition Rating Form (Green, Yellow, Red) to assess trail conditions; OHV Trail Monitoring Form; Soil Condition surveys and Stream Crossing Assessments, Archaeological Reconnaissance Report, Biological Evaluation and Assessment Reports for wildlife, plants, aquatic, etc.; Lands and Minerals Report; Engineering Transportation Assessment for roads and trails; Environmental Assessment or a Draft and Final Environmental Impact Statement for Motorized Travel Management in the Piute Mountains.

Version # Page: 2 of 13

Additional Documentation for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2008/2009 6/2/2009 Agency: USFS - Sequoia National Forest Application: Planning

| | FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: | Version # | APP # 700285 | |
|----|---------------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------------------------------|
| 1. | Timeline for Completion Attachments: | | | Piute Planning Timeline |
| 2. | Optional Project-Specific Application | n Documents | | |
| 3. | Optional Project-specific Maps Attachments: | | | Piute Mountains 2008 Piute Fire map |

Version # Page: 3 of 13

| | | FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: | Version # _ | | | APP # | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| APPLI | CANT NAME : | USFS - Sequoia National Forest | | | | | | |
| PROJ | ECT TITLE : | Planning | | | | PROJECT NUME (Division use on | | |
| PRO II | FCT TYPE · | Acquisition | Development | : | □ Edu | cation & Safety | ☐ Ground Op | erations |
| PROJECT TYPE : | | Law Enforcement | Planning | | Rest | toration | | |
| The Kern River Ranger District is submitting a Mountains located approximately 50 miles nor preparing an Environmental Impact Statement years. The areas include the Greenhorn, Bree Ranger District outside the boundary of the Gi Piute Mountains. The fire consumed ovver 37 unauthorized routes) within the fire burn area and flash flooding. Early evaluations indicate decided to remove the Piute Mountains area f (both roads and trails) need to be surveyed ar and rolling bar installation, rerouting of route, of there is approximately 144 miles of user-creat and trails. The project would fund salaries for environmental analysis. Other activities would Contract work force. The IDT would develop a prepared that would include significant issues consequences that would result if a develope an Environmental Impact Statement or a Environmental Impact Statement or a Environmental and province in the project would result if a develope an Environmental Impact Statement or a Environmental Impact Statemen | | | northeast of Beent for Travel reckenridge, a Giant Sequoia 37,000 acres, a were design te that erosion a from the Moand assessed of a stream created routes in for an Interdisculd include a repa proposed es raised by the ped alternative. | akersfield, Californi Route Designation and Piute Mountain a National Monume with high intensity nated for motorized mas intense in mutorized Travel Route for resource concrossing hardening, eventoried by the Fociplinary Team (IDT route inventory of a action, based on the public, developme were to be impler | a in Kern C for areas of s, lower Ke ent. In June where fuel use. A ser ich of the bi e Designati erns, in ligh may be pro prest Service to conduct reas with man e assessment of alter | county. The Sequoia Natifick Forest without a dern Canyon, Lake Isabel 2008, the Piute Fire ignicating was excessive ries of heavy rain events urned area. After review ion process. Within the it of the effects of the Piposed as a result of asset, and 108 miles of Natifield surveys, assess notorized use (including ents and public input. Anatives that address identification of the public input. Anatives that address identification is a sequential and public input. | ational Forest has been esignated route system la, and portions of the value and burned into the Amajority of the trail is also hit this area, cau wand consultation, the Piute Fire burn area, trute fire. Design feature sessments. Within the tional Forest Transport resource concerns, and motorcycle, OHVs and an environmental documentified significant issue | in the process of for the last several Western Divide he project area in the s (including sing excessive runoff Forest Supervisor ansportation routes es, such as water bar Piute Mountains area, ation System roads d complete an 4WD) using a ment would be es, and environmental |
| | Line Item | | Qty | Rate | UOM | Grant Request | Match | Total |
| DIREC | T EXPENSES | | | | | | | |
| Progra | am Expenses | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Staff | | | | | | | |
| | Other-IDT Leader Notes : Supervisor's (| Office GS 12 Interdisciplinary Team Leader | 150.000 | 320.000 | DAY | 24,000.00 | 24,000.00 | 48,000.00 |
| | Other-Archaeologist Notes : Kern River Di | strict Archaeologist GS 11 | 20.000 | 250.000 | DAY | 5,000.00 | 0.00 | 5,000.00 |
| | Other-Archaeologist Notes : Kern River G | S 9 Arch Survey Crew | 50.000 | 263.000 | DAY | 13,150.00 | 0.00 | 13,150.00 |

| Line Item | Qty | Rate | UOM | Grant Request | Match | Total |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|-----|---------------|-----------|-----------|
| Other-Archaeologist Notes : Kern River GS 5 Arch Survey Crew | 180.000 | 130.000 | DAY | 23,400.00 | 0.00 | 23,400.00 |
| Resources Staff Notes: Wildlife biologist GS 11 | 50.000 | 350.000 | DAY | 17,500.00 | 0.00 | 17,500.00 |
| Resources Staff Notes : Kern River GS 7 | 50.000 | 250.000 | DAY | 12,500.00 | 0.00 | 12,500.00 |
| Resources Staff Notes : Supervisor's Office Botanist GS 11 | 50.000 | 350.000 | DAY | 8,750.00 | 8,750.00 | 17,500.00 |
| Resources Staff Notes : Kern River Hydrologist GS 9 | 50.000 | 263.000 | DAY | 6,575.00 | 6,575.00 | 13,150.00 |
| Other-Recreation Manager Notes : Kern River GS 11 | 50.000 | 304.000 | DAY | 7,600.00 | 7,600.00 | 15,200.00 |
| Other-Roads Specialist Notes : Supervisor's Office GS 9 | 30.000 | 250.000 | DAY | 3,750.00 | 3,750.00 | 7,500.00 |
| Other-Lands and Minerals Notes : Kern River GS 7 | 40.000 | 250.000 | DAY | 5,000.00 | 5,000.00 | 10,000.00 |
| Other-Public Affairs Officer Notes : Supervisor's Office GS 13 | 25.000 | 400.000 | DAY | 0.00 | 10,000.00 | 10,000.00 |
| Other-Asst Rec Officer Notes : Kern River GS 7 | 50.000 | 270.000 | DAY | 6,750.00 | 6,750.00 | 13,500.00 |
| Other-GIS Specialist Notes : Supervisor's Office GS 9 | 20.000 | 325.000 | DAY | 3,250.00 | 3,250.00 | 6,500.00 |
| Other-Forest Supervisor Notes : Supervisor's Office GS 15 | 30.000 | 550.000 | DAY | 0.00 | 16,500.00 | 16,500.00 |
| Other-Forest Rec Officer Notes : Supervisor's Office GS 13 | 30.000 | 480.000 | DAY | 0.00 | 14,400.00 | 14,400.00 |

| Line Item | Qty | Rate | UOM | Grant Request | Match | Total |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|-----------|-----|---------------|------------|------------|
| Other-District Ranger Notes : Kern River District Ranger GS 13 | 35.000 | 480.000 | DAY | 0.00 | 16,800.00 | 16,800.00 |
| Management and Admin Staff Notes : Supervisor's Office Budget and Finance (2) | 15.000 | 700.000 | DAY | 0.00 | 10,500.00 | 10,500.00 |
| Field Office Staff Notes : Kern River VIS GS 6 (1) | 30.000 | 240.000 | DAY | 0.00 | 7,200.00 | 7,200.00 |
| Field Office Staff Notes: Kern River VIS GS 5 (1) | 10.000 | 180.000 | DAY | 0.00 | 1,800.00 | 1,800.00 |
| Other-Forestry Technician Notes : Kern River FPT GS 7 | 20.000 | 270.000 | DAY | 0.00 | 5,400.00 | 5,400.00 |
| Other-District Ranger Notes: Western Divide District Ranger GS 13 | 5.000 | 480.000 | DAY | 0.00 | 2,400.00 | 2,400.00 |
| Other- Engineering Notes : Supervisor's Office GS 13 Engineering | 5.000 | 360.000 | DAY | 0.00 | 1,800.00 | 1,800.00 |
| Other-Planner Notes : Supervisor's Office Planner GS 12 | 20.000 | 320.000 | DAY | 0.00 | 6,400.00 | 6,400.00 |
| Other-Landscape Architect Notes: Supervisor's Office Landscape Architect GS 11 | 15.000 | 350.000 | DAY | 0.00 | 5,250.00 | 5,250.00 |
| Other-Volunteers Notes: Volunteers (10) volunteers; 10 days @ \$25 day | 100.000 | 25.000 | DAY | 0.00 | 2,500.00 | 2,500.00 |
| Total for Staff | | | | 137,225.00 | 166,625.00 | 303,850.00 |
| Contracts | | | | | | |
| Other-Teams Notes : TEAMS Route Inventory | 1.000 | 35000.000 | EA | 35,000.00 | 0.00 | 35,000.00 |
| Other-Economist Notes : Contractor Economist | 5.000 | 500.000 | DAY | 1,250.00 | 1,250.00 | 2,500.00 |

Donn Coff

| Line Item | Qty | Rate | UOM | Grant Request | Match | Total |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|---------|-----|---------------|----------|-----------|
| Total for Contracts | | | | 36,250.00 | 1,250.00 | 37,500.00 |
| 3 Materials / Supplies | | | | | | |
| Other-Helmet Cam Notes: Kern River | 1.000 | 750.000 | EA | 750.00 | 0.00 | 750.00 |
| 4 Equipment Use Expenses | | | | | | |
| Field Vehicle Notes: Kern River Vehicle 3412 Archaeology | 4000.000 | 0.290 | MI | 1,160.00 | 0.00 | 1,160.00 |
| Field Vehicle Notes: Kern River Vehicle 1182 Archaeology | 10000.00 | 0.290 | MI | 2,900.00 | 0.00 | 2,900.00 |
| Field Vehicle Notes: Kern River Vehicle 1188 Archaeology | 10000.00 | 0.290 | MI | 2,900.00 | 0.00 | 2,900.00 |
| Field Vehicle Notes: Kern River Vehicle 1829 Wildlife | 4000.000 | 0.290 | MI | 1,160.00 | 0.00 | 1,160.00 |
| Field Vehicle Notes: Kern River Vehicle 2919 Wildlife | 4000.000 | 0.290 | MI | 1,160.00 | 0.00 | 1,160.00 |
| Field Vehicle Notes: Supervisor's Office Vehicle 5952 Engineering | 10000.00 | 0.290 | MI | 2,900.00 | 0.00 | 2,900.00 |
| Field Vehicle Notes: Kern River Vehicle 1130 Minerals | 4000.000 | 0.360 | MI | 1,440.00 | 0.00 | 1,440.00 |
| Field Vehicle Notes: Kern River Vehicle 2807 Asst Rec Officer | 4000.000 | 0.360 | MI | 1,440.00 | 0.00 | 1,440.00 |
| Field Vehicle Notes: Kern River Vehicle 1331 Recreation | 3000.000 | 0.360 | MI | 0.00 | 1,080.00 | 1,080.00 |
| Field Vehicle Notes: Kern River Vehicle 3124 District Ranger | 1000.000 | 0.290 | MI | 0.00 | 290.00 | 290.00 |
| Total for Equipment Use Expenses | <u> </u> | | - | 15,060.00 | 1,370.00 | 16,430.00 |

Version # Page: 7 of 13

| | Line Item | Qty | Rate | UOM | Grant Request | Match | Total |
|---------|------------------------|-----|------|-----|---------------|------------|------------|
| 5 | Equipment Purchases | | | | | | |
| 6 | Others | | | | | | |
| 7 | Administrative Costs | | | | | | |
| Total F | Total Program Expenses | | | | | 169,245.00 | 358,530.00 |
| TOTAL | FOTAL DIRECT EXPENSES | | | | | 169,245.00 | 358,530.00 |
| TOTAL | L EXPENDITURES | | | | 189,285.00 | 169,245.00 | 358,530.00 |

Page: 8 of 13

| | Line Item | Grant Request | Match | Total | Narrative |
|------|------------------------|---------------|------------|------------|-----------|
| DIRE | ECT EXPENSES | • | | | |
| Prog | ram Expenses | | | | |
| 1 | Staff | 137,225.00 | 166,625.00 | 303,850.00 | |
| 2 | Contracts | 36,250.00 | 1,250.00 | 37,500.00 | |
| 3 | Materials / Supplies | 750.00 | 0.00 | 750.00 | |
| 4 | Equipment Use Expenses | 15,060.00 | 1,370.00 | 16,430.00 | |
| 5 | Equipment Purchases | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 6 | Others | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 7 | Administrative Costs | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| Tota | l Program Expenses | 189,285.00 | 169,245.00 | 358,530.00 | |
| тот | AL DIRECT EXPENSES | 189,285.00 | 169,245.00 | 358,530.00 | |
| TOT | AL EXPENDITURES | 189,285.00 | 169,245.00 | 358,530.00 | |

Environmental Review Data Sheet (ERDS) for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2008/2009
Agency: USFS - Sequoia National Forest
Application: Planning

| | FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Version # APP # 700285 | |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| | EM 1 and ITEM 2 | |
| | TEM 1 | |
| a. | ITEM 1 - Has a CEQA Notice of Determination (NOD) been filed for the Project? (Please select Yes or No) | |
| | ITEM 2 | |
| b. | ITEM 2 - Are the proposed activities a "Project" under CEQA Guidelines Section 15378? Yes No (Please select Yes or No) | |
| C. | The Application is requesting funds solely for personnel and support to enforce OHV laws Yes No and ensure public safety. These activities would not cause any physical impacts on the environment and are thus not a "Project" under CEQA. (Please select Yes or No) | |
| d. | Other. Explain why proposed activities would not cause any physical impacts on the environment and are thus near $^{\circ}$ a "Project" under CEQA. DO NOT complete ITEMS 3 – 9 | ot |
| | This funding grant request is for a planning project only, no ground disturbing activities will occur. | |
| ı | EM 3 - Impact of this Project on Wetlands | |
| ı | EM 4 - Cumulative Impacts of this Project | |
| I | EM 5 - Soil Impacts | |
| ı | EM 6 - Damage to Scenic Resources | |
| ı | EM 7 - Hazardous Materials | |
| | Is the proposed Project Area located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Yes No Section 65962.5 of the California Government Code (hazardous materials)? (Please select Yes or No) | |
| | If YES, describe the location of the hazard relative to the Project site, the level of hazard and the measures to be taken to minimize or avoid the hazards. | ; |
| ı | EM 8 - Potential for Adverse Impacts to Historical or Cultural Resources | |
| | Would the proposed Project have potential for any substantial adverse impacts to Yes No historical or cultural resources? (Please select Yes or No) | |
| | If YES, describe the potential impacts and for any substantially adverse changes in the significance of historical cultural resources and measures to be taken to minimize or avoid the impacts. | or |
| ı | EM 9 - Indirect Significant Impacts | |
| | EQA/NEPA Attachment | |

Version # Page: 10 of 13

Planning Project Criteria for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2008/2009 6/2/2009 Agency: USFS - Sequoia National Forest Application: Planning

| | FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: | Version # | APP # 700285 |
|--------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. | Project Cost Estimate - Q 1. (Auto popu | ılates from Cost E | stimate) |
| 1. | As calculated on the Project Cost Estima Applicant is 3 | ate, the percentage | of the Project costs covered by the |
| | (Check the one most appropriate) (Pleas | se select one from | ist) |
| | 76% or more (10 points) | 1 | 51% - 75% (5 points) |
| | © 26% - 50% (3 points) | j | 25% (Match minimum) (No points) |
| 2. | Planning Project - Q 2. | | |
| A Pla | nning Project - Page 1 | | |
| 2. | The Planning Project would address the | following 4 | |
| | (Check all that apply) (Please select app | olicable values) | |
| | ▼ Potential effects of OHV Recreation | n on special-status | species habitats |
| | Potential effects of OHV Recreation | n on cultural resour | ces |
| | | | |
| | Potential effects of OHV Recreation | - | |
| | ▼ Potential effects of OHV Recreation | | |
| | Potential effects of OHV RecreationPotential impact to relationships be | - | |
| | | | adjacent property that may impact OHV Recreation |
| | ✓ Trail issues such as traffic patterns, | - | |
| | touche . | | |
| B. Pla | nning Project - Page 2 | | |
| | Explain each statement that was checke | d | |
| | quality, and other recreation uses throug evaluations. The project area will be rev be made regarding their disposal in orde OHV Recreation and local residents will | th surveys, specialing in the surveys, specialing in the surveys to avoid harm where to addressed whe traffic patterns, traing | habitat, cultural resources, soil conditions, water st reports, trail condition reports, and biological for hazardous materials. If found, recommendations will ere needed. Potential impacts to relationships between re needed. Adjacent land includes private property and I closures, appropriate uses, will be analysed and inticipation process. |
| | (Check the one most appropriate) (Pleas | se select one from | ist) |
| | 6 or more items checked (4 points) |) | 4 to 5 items checked (3 points) |
| | C 2 to 3 items checked (2 points) | | 1 or no items checked (No points) |
| 3. | Motorized Access - Q 3. | | |
| 3. | The Project would lead to improved facili nonmotorized recreation opportunities | · · | otorized access to the following |
| | (Check all that apply) Scoring: 2 points ea | ach, up to a maxim | um of 6 points (Please select applicable values) |
| | Camping | 1 | ☑ Birding |
| | ✓ Hiking | | Equestrian trails |
| | ☐ Fishing ☑ Other (Specify) [Hunting] | I | Rock Climbing |

Version # Page: 11 of 13

Public Input - Q 4.

Planning Project Criteria for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2008/2009 6/2/2009 Agency: USFS - Sequoia National Forest

Application: Planning 4. The Project proposal was developed with public input employing the following 2 (Check all that apply) Scoring: Maximum of 2 points (Please select applicable values) Meeting(s) with the general public to discuss Project (1 point) ▼ Conference call(s) with interested parties (1 point) ✓ Meeting(s) with stakeholders (1 point) Explain each statement that was checked The Project was discussed at Motorized Travel Management workshops for the Sequoia National Forest Draft Environmental Impact Statement in February 2009. The project was discussed at the quarterly meetings with California Off Road Vehicle Association, Stewards of the Sequoia, California Trail Users Coalition, and other interested public in January 2009. The project was discussed with various stakeholders during the analysis phase for Piute Mountains Fire Recovery. Stakeholder Input - Q 5. 5. If the Project were approved, the planning process would incorporate substantial stakeholder input: 5 (Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list) No (No points) Yes (5 points) If 'Yes', explain, specifically, how it would be 'substantial'. Identify stakeholders During the Motorized Travel Management planning process, the Sequoia National Forest has worked closely with key stakeholders including private property owners in the Piute Mountains, OHV organizations such as CORVA, Stewards of the Sequoia, California Trail Users Coalition, Backcountry Horsemen, and Friends of Jawbone. In addition, adjacent public land managers from Bureau of Land Management and representative from Kern County Roads Department will be involved in the planning efforts. Other stakeholders include various OHV groups, local individuals, property owners, and groups with whom volunteer agreements exist. Team members will work with State agencies including the State Historic Preservation Office, California Department of Fish and Game, and the State OHV Division. Utilization of Partnerships - Q 6. 6. The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are 4 (Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list) 4 or more (4 points) 2 to 3 (2 points) 1 (1 point) None (No points) List partner organization(s) Bureau of Land Management, Kern County Roads Department, Piute Mountain property owners, Stewards of the Sequoia, California Trail Users Coalition, California Off Highway Vehicle Association, Bakersfield Trail Blazers, Ridgecrest Gear Grinders, Backcountry Horsemen, and Kern Trails. Sustain OHV Opportunity - Q 7. 7. The Planning Project sustains OHV Opportunity in the following manner 14 (Check all that apply) (Please select applicable values) ☑ Project will develop management plans for existing OHV Opportunity (4 points) Project will complete environmental review for an OHV Development Project (3 points) Project supports development of OHV Opportunities adjacent to population centers (3 points) ▼ Project supports development of OHV Opportunities in areas that lack legal OHV Opportunity (2 points)

5.

7.

Version # Page: 12 of 13

▼ Project will develop a system of designated OHV routes for an existing OHV Opportunity (2 points)

Planning Project Criteria for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2008/2009 6/2/2009 Agency: USFS - Sequoia National Forest Application: Planning

Explain each statement that was checked

The project will complete motorized route designation efforts for the Piute Mountains through development of an Environmental Assessment or Environmental Impact Statement. The project will engage services of an Economist to assess economic effects and opportunities for adjacent population centers in the Kern River Valley and adjacent property owners in the Piute Mountains. A majority of the trails (including unauthorized routes) within the fire burn area were designated for motorized use.

8. Identification of Funding Sources - Q 8.

8.

| Funds for implementing the completed plan is | have been identified 5 |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| (Check the one most appropriate) (Please se | elect one from list) |
| No (No points) | Yes (5 points) |
| Explain 'Yes' response | |
| Historically, funding sources associated with | designated travel routes has been a cor |

Historically, funding sources associated with designated travel routes has been a combination of Forest Service appropriated dollars and State funding, such as green sticker funds. In recent years, appropriated dollars have been minimal. Trail route maintenance typically is accomplished with a combination of efforts, including work provided by volunteers, appropriated Federal funding and State funding through grant agreements. Costs associated with implementing changes to road use, will be paid for primarily through Federal appropriated dollars.

Reference Document

Volunteer Agreements with Stewards of the Sequoia, Ridgecrest Gear Grinders, Bakersfield Trail Blazers, Backcountry Horsemen, CORVA and California Trail Users Coalition. Federal appropriated funding

9. Offsite Impacts - Q 9.

9. The Planning Project would address offsite impacts relative to the Project Area (e.g., sound, fugitive dust, runoff): 5
(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)
No (No points)
Yes (5 points)

Explain 'Yes' response

The Interdisciplinary Team will look at off site sedimentary delivery and impacts to adjacent private land off Forest. Field surveys and trail evaluations will assess off site runoff and the the IDT will make recommendations for trail treatments for OHV single track and hiking trail stabilization, stream crossing stabilization, trail gully stabilization and erosion control barriers.

Version # Page: 13 of 13